2014 Private Equity EMEA Compensation Survey Executive Report
Introduction

In June 2014 Heidrick & Struggles invited over 7000 executives working in the European Private Equity industry to participate in an online survey of compensation. This annual survey follows the successful 2013 edition.

We received 574 useable responses (a slight increase on 2013) from professionals working across the PE value chain: executives who raise and retain capital, executives who invest this capital and those who work to improve returns, as well as the CFOs, General Counsels, and CHROs of their funds. This represented a response rate of 8% and we would like to take this opportunity to thank all those who completed the survey.

The largest respondent group was Investment Professionals (77% of total participants) and this report focuses primarily on their compensation levels and trends. As well as analysing investment professionals as a group we have differentiated between strategies; Buyout, Secondaries, Fund of Fund, Co-investment, VC and Credit (with an emphasis on illiquid and distressed).

We gathered further data on fundraising professional and operating professional compensation and have reported on it where we had achieved significant response rates. For other functional specialisations we did not gather sufficient information.

Should you wish to discuss the survey in greater detail please do not hesitate to contact us.

Richard Thackray
Partner
rthackray@heidrick.com

Tom Thackeray
Engagement Manager
tthackeray@heidrick.com

Kanika Walia
Senior Research Analyst
kwalia@heidrick.com

On Confidentiality
The survey has been conducted on an anonymous basis for the individuals and their employers and Heidrick & Struggles has kept separate the data relating to name and firm from their compensation.
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Market Backdrop

- Further crystallisation of funds who are the ‘winners’ and ‘losers’.
- Late 2013 and H1 2014 has seen the first ‘green shoots’ since the crisis with a few first time funds raising significant capital.
- The employment market remains subdued. However, there are a small number of senior roles being recruited in Europe. Demand from professionals still greatly exceeds the supply of roles.
- In a market with high asset pricing and readily available debt, firms are struggling to identify investment opportunities to pursue with conviction.

Responses have varied but a few trends emerge

- The large cap sector is investing again in advisor and executive networks to create advantage in sourcing and auction processes.
- In the middle market and below there is a focus on “hunters” who are able to source effectively.
- Certain sectors seek professionals with deep industry expertise:
  - Technology
  - Mining, Energy & Natural Resources
- Proven “Deal Captains” remain the most typically “traded” seat in most firms in European Private Equity.

Accordingly we were interested to understand compensation with the industry in detail and to answer questions such as:

- How has pay developed recently?
- How has pay developed year on year (2013 vs 2014)?
- What is the relationship between fund size and compensation?
- In there a variation between strategies?
- Is there a difference between European Markets in compensation?
- Is there a variation across the value chain of executive roles in compensation?
A higher proportion (65%) of respondents reported pay increases in our 2014 survey versus 36% in 2013. Scale of reported increase was similar, as was changes to bonuses.

65% of respondents received base salary increases between 2013 and 2014. 38% also saw an increase in bonus levels reflecting continued increasing rewards for top performers at good firms.

*Time series data is analysed later in the report (page 9)*
2014 EMEA Compensation Survey

Respondents Cash Compensation Development

2012-2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>€’000)</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total Comp</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total Comp</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total Comp</th>
<th>CAGR Total Comp 2014</th>
<th>CAGR Total Comp 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Professionals</td>
<td>Mean Base</td>
<td>Mean Bonus</td>
<td>Total Comp</td>
<td>Mean Base</td>
<td>Mean Bonus</td>
<td>Total Comp</td>
<td>Mean Base</td>
<td>Mean Bonus</td>
<td>Total Comp</td>
<td>Total Comp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing Director Level: Managing Directors, Managing Partners, Senior Partners, Partners</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Level: Principals, Vice Presidents and Directors</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Level: Associates and Analysts</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Self reported compensation development for Principals and Associates has grown at less than the rate of inflation and is markedly different than our 2013 summary; suggesting strong cost management within GPs: The reported CAGR for the Associate cadre total compensation was 14.8% in 2013 versus 0.6% in 2014. Given the strong response rate in the survey for this group this is a notable trend.

Managing Director’s compensation has increased above inflation and was double the reported increase in 2013.
Mean Base, Bonus & Carry by Fund Size 2014

Investment Professionals only

This analysis was the most popular data set from our 2013 survey as it helped individuals ‘benchmark’ their compensation, allowing the inquisitive industry participant to answer the question “where do I stand versus my peers?”

Whilst we have observed some variations in the data as segmented by fund size, the overall picture is consistent with our 2013 report.
Mean Base, Bonus & Carry
Fundraising and Investor Relations Professionals only

Compensation for fundraisers and investor relations professionals continues to reflect a trend observable from last year’s survey; Senior practitioners are paid on a similar cash basis to their peers but lag behind on carry (by approximately 50%). This data incorporates 66 respondents.

Mean Base, Bonus & Carry
Operating Professionals only

New for 2014’s survey is compensation data for Operating Professionals drawn from 43 respondents. It is notable that junior operators are compensated at lower levels than their investment professional peers for base, bonus and carry.

Senior Operating Professionals receive a comparable salary, but lower bonus and carry share, whilst principals are compensated at similar levels to their investment professional peers across all forms of compensation.
### Years of PE Experience & Compensation in 2014 vs 2013

Investment Professionals only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years of PE Experience</th>
<th>Base (€'000)</th>
<th>Bonus (€'000)</th>
<th>Total Cash (Base + Bonus 2014) (€'000)</th>
<th>Total Cash (Base + Bonus 2013) (€'000)</th>
<th>% change in total cash since 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-14</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-16</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17+</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>-6.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When talking with aspiring entrants to the industry we often counsel that Private Equity is an “Endurance Team Sport”. Wealth is created through securing a seat at a good firm, delivering good performance and progressing within the firm. There is notably higher growth in compensation for senior practitioners; which we feel reflects the general industry economic model.
The graph above illustrates the relationship between carry development and experience and the percentile ranges.

Crucially we do not match compensation data to the source fund’s performance so cannot comment whether there is a strong relationship between fund performance and compensation.
Comparison of Compensation across Europe and Africa
2014 for Investment Professionals only

Responses from countries are grouped as:

- **Africa**: Nigeria, Republic of Tunisia, Kenya, Egypt and South Africa
- **Benelux**: Belgium, Netherlands and Luxembourg.
- **Central & Eastern Europe (CEE)**: Belarus, Hungary, Poland, Romania, Russia and Turkey.
- **Nordics**: Denmark, Norway, Sweden and Northern Europe.

Europe continues to show significant variability for cash compensation dependent on geography.

London remains the most highly compensated market.

In the Nordics, Benelux, Germany and Spain, junior investment professionals are rewarded comparatively better to London market highs than their senior compatriots.

In France and Africa compensation levels remained linked to seniority.
Investment Strategy Mean Base, Bonus and Carry 2014

Investment Professionals only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Managing Director Level</th>
<th>Principal Level</th>
<th>Associate Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean Base 2014 (€ Thousands)</td>
<td>Mean Bonus 2014 (€ Thousands)</td>
<td>Mean Carry 2014 (€ Millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buyout</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondaries</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund of Fund</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Investment</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venture Capital</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This data allows us to show the trends between various private capital strategies.

Whilst there is a clear trend towards higher margin products allowing funds to compensate at higher levels, we were surprised at the gap between primary and secondary strategies and co-investments, which are compensated closer to stand alone GPs.

The strong compensation in Credit Funds is notable and we feel is driven by market demand for talent in this sector.
**Respondents PE Experience Demographics**

Investment Professionals only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total years of PE Experience</th>
<th>Number of Investment Professional Respondents</th>
<th>444 Investment Professionals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 2</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17+</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>444</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Split of Respondents by Educational Qualification**

All Professionals

On the basis of the responses related to their educational qualifications, the respondents can be broadly classified under the following Groups:

Respondents with MBA are as follows:

- **Group 1**: 2 respondents with MBA and both ACA/CPA & JD/Solicitor Qualifications.
- **Group 2**: 22 respondents with MBA and just ACA/CPA Qualifications.
- **Group 3**: 11 respondents with MBA and just JD/Solicitor Qualifications.
- **Group 4**: 291 respondents with just MBA and no other additional Qualifications.

Respondents without MBA are as follows:

- **Group 5**: 65 Non-MBA respondents with just ACA/CPA Qualification.
- **Group 6**: 3 Non-MBA respondents with just JD/Solicitor Qualification.
- **Group 7**: 168 Non-MBA respondents with no additional Qualification.
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Heidrick & Struggles

Heidrick & Struggles is the premier provider of senior-level Executive Search, Culture Shaping and Leadership Consulting services. For more than 60 years we have focused on quality service and built strong relationships with clients and individuals worldwide. Today, Heidrick & Struggles leadership experts operate from principal business centers globally.
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